

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,524	09/16/2003	Masahiro Nakayama	52775-024	1716	
7590 03/15/2006			EXAMINER		
Stephen A. Becker			NGUYEN, DUNG V		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	C 20005-3096	3723	3723		
•					

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 4 1 4 - 1 1					
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/662,524		NAKAYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Dung V. Nguyen		3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	28 February 2006.					
· <u> </u>		This action is non-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are objected to.						
Applicati	on Papers						
	•	ominor					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
. 5/23				=	ici.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)🖾 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oroign priority andor oo c	3.0.0. 3 110(a)	(4) 01 (1).			
/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been receiv	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			n No			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of th		• •		200		
	application from the International E			on this readonal St	age		
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and analytica detailed embe detail for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-16-</u> 03, 9-10-04, 7-18-05, 10-13-05 6) ☐ Other:							

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 28 February 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 7-9 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al (USPN 6,933,234). Nakamura et al discloses a method of chamfering a wafer 90 comprising preparing a soft whetting apparatus having a continually-fed tape 2a and whetting granules implanted on the tape 2a, bringing the tape 2a into inscribing contact with an edge of the circular wafer 90 at a pressure, supplying the tape 2a with whetting liquid which is water, rotating the wafer 90 in the inscribing contact with the tape 2a, feeding the tape 2a at a speed, abrading the edge of the wafer 90 by the granules implanted on the tape 2a into edge roughness of 3 nm or less, wherein the pressure acting between the wafer edge and the tape is 1 kgf, wherein the granules fixed on the tape 2a is diamond having a size of #4000, wherein the tape

Art Unit: 3723

2a is make of polymer resin (note Fig. 1, 6B, 7 and 15A, col. 5, line 49 to col. 6, line 35, col. 7, lines 8-22, col. 12, line 53 to col. 13, line 18, col. 20, lines 46-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9, 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (USPN 6,933,234). Nakamura et al discloses the claimed invention as described above, however, Nakamura et al does not disclose the feed speed of the tape is 5mm/min to 60mm/min, the contact between the wafer edge and the tape has a wide angle area of 40 degrees to 90 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the feed speed of the tape is 5mm/min to 60mm/min, the contact between the wafer edge and the tape has a wide angle area of 40 degrees to 90 degrees, since it was held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 6. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (USPN 6,933,234). Nakamura et al discloses the claimed invention as described above, however, Nakamura et al does not disclose the semiconductor wafer is gallium nitride, indium nitride or aluminum nitride. Nakamura et al discloses in col.

,

Application/Control Number: 10/662,524 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

20, lines 46-52 that another semiconductor wafer beside Si wafer can also be used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of Nakamura et al with gallium nitride, indium nitride or aluminum nitride wafers.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Steere, III and Shimada et al are cited to show apparatus and method for polishing edge of wafer using tape.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-3:30.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,524

Art Unit: 3723

/662,524 Page 5

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

DVN March 8, 2006